

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box, 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,166	06/27/2001	Raphael Schlanger	01-396	4513
75	90 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER
Mr Raphael Schlanger			BELLINGER, JASON R	
Topollno Technology LLC 21 Diamond Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bethel, CT 06801			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/893,166	SCHLANGER, RAPHAEL				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason R Bellinger	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of this application in the control of the contr	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>193</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>127,131,185 and 186</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 114-120,122-124,128-130,132-137,146,147,150,155-157,163-166,168-170,180 and 181.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 121,125,12						
• •	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
-						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:						
10						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Wilson reference is still considered to read over claims 124 and 128-129 (claims 125-126 being non-elected). Futhermore, the addition of the limitation that the bicycle rim include menas for adjusting the tension of the spokes would require further search and consideration of the pending claims.

S. JOSEPH MORANO

PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600